## Vital Signs Snapshots of Arts Funding

Grantmakers in the Arts, in partnership with the Foundation Center, has provided an annual snapshot of foundation arts funding since 2001.
To draw a more complete picture, this year we also provide trend information about government arts funding.
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## Highlights

We offer these key findings from GIA's fifth snapshot of foundation giving to arts and culture. Most importantly the findings tell us about the changes in foundation giving for the arts between 2002 and 2003 and the distribution of 2003 giving among arts and cultural institutions and fields of activity. They are based on arts grants of $\$ 10,000$ or more reported to the Foundation Center by 1,010 of the largest U.S. foundations. The Center has conducted annual examinations of the giving patterns of the nation's largest foundations for almost three decades.

Consistent with most other funding areas, arts and culture grant dollars declined in 2003. Unadjusted grant dollars awarded for arts and culture by the 1,010 larger foundations in the sample decreased by $\$ 155.5$ million, from $\$ 1.95$ billion in 2002 to $\$ 1.79$ billion in 2003. Among all funding areas, only public affairs/ society benefit ${ }^{1}$ showed an increase in grant dollars.

Funding for arts and culture decreased less than overall giving. From 2002 to 2003, grant dollars for arts and culture from reporting foundations decreased by 8 percent, or 10 percent after inflation. This decline was less than the 10.1 percent unadjusted decrease in all funding reported for these foundations. By comparison, funding for education declined 16.7 percent before inflation. This suggests that foundations remain committed to the arts even in difficult economic times.

The proportion of foundation grantmaking for arts and culture increased slightly. The arts and culture share of total grant dollars from the 1,010 larger foundations in the sample was 12.5
percent in 2003, almost unchanged from 12.2 percent in 2002 . Moreover, this share of grant dollars roughly matched the average ( 12.7 percent) and median ( 12.45 percent) share for the past decade.

## Most larger foundations support arts and culture, while close

 to half show a strong commitment to the arts. Close to nine of every ten of the larger 1,010 foundations (86 percent) made grants supporting the arts and culture in 2003. Committed arts funders-i.e., those providing at least 10 percent of their 2003 giving for the arts- represented close to half of sampled foundations (45 percent).The number of arts and culture grants decreased, with no change in median grant size. The median arts and culture grant size- $\$ 25,000$ - did not change from 2002 to 2003, although the real value of the median grant decreased slightly due to inflation. The number of arts grants in the sample declined by 793, from 18,674 in 2002 to 17,881 in 2003 . However, this 4.2 percent decrease was less than the 5.5 percent reduction in the overall number of grants reported in the sample.

0 perating support accounts for a growing share of arts funding. In 2003, general operating support accounted for 30.2 percent of arts and culture grant dollars, compared to 27 percent in 2002 and just 13 percent in 1989.

## Source of the data

The original research upon which this report is based was conducted by the Foundation Center. Specifically, the source for data was the Foundation Center's Foundation Giving Trends: Update on Funding Priorities (2005) report and the grants sample database. The data for 2003 include all grants of $\$ 10,000$ or more awarded by 1,010 of the largest U.S. foundations and reported to the Foundation Center between June 2003 and July 2004. Grants were awarded primarily in 2003. These grants represented half of total grant dollars awarded by the more than 66,000 active U.S. independent, corporate, community, and grantmaking operating foundations that the Foundation Center tracks. (The sample captures roughly half of all foun-
dation giving for arts and culture.) For community foundations, only discretionary and donor-advised grants were included. Grants to individuals were not included.

It is important to keep in mind that the foundation grantmaking examined here represents only one source of arts financing. It does not examine arts support from earned income, governments, individual donors, or the business community. This analysis also looks only at foundation arts support for nonprofit organizations, and not individual artists, commercial arts enterprises, and informal or unincorporated activities.
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## Specific Findings

## Arts Grants Compared to All Grants in the Sample

Overall foundation dollars for the arts. Unadjusted grant dollars for arts and culture from the 1,010 larger foundations in the Foundation Center's sample decreased by $\$ 155.5$ million, from $\$ 1.95$ billion in 2002 to $\$ 1.79$ billion in 2003. ${ }^{2}$ This decline was consistent with most other funding areas. Only public affairs/ society benefit showed an increase in grant dollars in 2003 (figure 1).

Funding for arts and culture decreased 8 percent before inflation between 2002 and 2003. (W ith an inflation rate of just over 2 percent, this reflected a real decrease of 10 percent.) However, this decline was less than the 10.1 percent decrease in unadjusted funding reported for foundations in the sample overall. It was also less than the decreases in unadjusted grant dollars recorded for education (16.7 percent) and international affairs (12.7 percent), among other fields. This suggests that foundations remain committed to the arts even in difficult economic times.

FIGURE 1. Growth of giving by major field of giving, 2002 to 2003*


Source: The Foundation C enter, Foundation Giving Trends, 2005. Based on a sample of 1,010 larger foundations.

* Includes subject areas accounting for at least 6 percent of grant dollars or grants.
** Includes civil rights and social action, community improvement and development, philanthropy and voluntarism, and public affairs.

FIGURE 2. Percent of grant dollars by major field of giving, 2003*


Source: The Foundation Center, 2004, based on a sample of 1,010 larger foundations.

* Due to rounding, percentages may not equal 100 .
** Includes civil rights and social action, community improvement, philanthropy and voluntarism, and public affairs.

FIGURE 3. Giving trends by major field of giving, 1994-2003


[^1]2. In addition to the $\$ 1.79$ billion supporting the arts in 2003 , foundations in the sample provided 104 grants totaling $\$ 26,690,588$ for arts and humanities library programs, and 74 grants totaling $\$ 9,592,304$ for international cultural exchange.

The arts' share of all foundation grant dollars. In 2003, arts grant dollars represented 12.5 percent of all grant dollars in the Foundation Center sample (figure 2). This percentage was slightly higher than the arts' 2002 share, although it fell slightly below the 12.7 percent average for the past decade (figure 3). From 1994 through 2003, the arts' share of all foundation grant dollars ranged from lows of 12 percent in 1995 and 2000 to highs of 14.8 percent in 1993 and 1998.

Number of grants. In terms of the number of foundation grants given rather than the total dollar amount, the arts' share of all foundation grants remained almost unchanged at 14.8 percent in 2003 , compared to 14.6 percent in 2002 (figure 4). Reflecting constraints in resources, the actual number of grants decreased by 793, from 18,674 to 17,881.

Median grant size. The median grant amount for arts and culture in 2003 was $\$ 25,000$. This amount has remained unchanged since 1993. If this amount were adjusted for inflation, however, it would have lost value in real dollars. The figure also matched the median amount for all foundation grants in 2003. More study would be required to determine whether the unchanged median means that foundation arts grants simply are not keeping pace with inflation, or whether, in combination with the increased number of grants, it means that foundations are choosing to distribute funds more broadly to a larger number of recipients.
(The median-meaning that half of the grants are above and half are below the amount- is generally acknowledged to be a more representative measure of the typical grant than the mean or "average," because the median is not influenced by extreme high or low amounts.)

## FIGURE 4. Percent of number of grants by major

 fields of giving, 2003*

[^2]Arts funding by region. Foundations in the Northeast provided the largest share of their overall 2003 giving for arts and culture (16.8 percent), followed by foundations in the Midwest (11.7 percent), South ( 9.2 percent), and W est ( 8.5 percent) regions of the United States. Organizations in the Northeast received the largest share of arts grant dollars out of overall giving (15 percent), followed by those in the Midwest (13.6 percent), W est (13.4 percent), and South (10.1 percent). Of grant dollars going to organizations located outside of the United States, a notably smaller 6.7 percent supported the arts.

Share of foundations funding the arts. In the 2003 sample, more than 86 percent of funders supported arts and culture873 of 1,010 foundations. However, some of these foundations do not maintain a consistent commitment to the arts. Among sampled foundations showing a stronger commitment to the arts, close to half (455) provided at least 10 percent of their 2003 grant dollars for the arts, while roughly one-sixth (170) gave at least 25 percent.

## Grants by arts subfield

Funding for the performing arts accounted for one-third ( 32 percent) of all foundation arts dollars in 2003 (figure 5), and was a larger share than that for museums ( 27 percent). From the start of the 1980s until 1998, the performing arts consistently received more foundation support than museums. In the mid1980s, the two fields received nearly equal shares of funding. But this lasted for a short period of time, and between the late1980s and the mid-1990s the performing arts regained its earlier lead. In 1998, grant dollars to museums increased significantly, surpassing those going to performing arts. They achieved

FIGURE 5. Arts and culture, giving to subfields, 2003*


[^3]FIGURE 6. Giving to performing arts, 2003*


Source: The Foundation Center, 2005, based on a sample of 1,010 larger foundations.

* G iving to performing arts constitutes 32 percent of all giving to the arts and culture subcategory.
** Performing arts/ other includes performing arts centers, performing arts schools, and other multidisciplinary arts prorgams.
this larger share of support again in 1999 and 2001. The underlying reasons for the shifts in share between these two fields of activity are complex. More study would be needed to adequately understand the role played, for example, by the entry onto the scene of new and large arts funders, extraordinarily large grants, the contribution of valuable art collections, and new capital projects at museums.

Giving to performing arts. In 2003, performing arts grant dollars decreased by nearly 10 percent ( $\$ 61.5$ million), from $\$ 642$ million to $\$ 580.5$ million. The largest share of giving to the performing arts (figure 6) continued to go to music (including symphony orchestras and opera), performing arts centers, and theater. The performing arts received more arts funding than museums in 2003 based on both share of arts grant dollars and number of arts grants ( 42.7 percent vs. 20.1 percent). In general, the average performing arts grant tends to be smaller in size than the average museum grant. In 2003, 71 percent of all funders in the Foundation Center sample supported the performing arts.

Giving to museums. Grant dollars allocated to museums declined by 17.4 percent between 2002 and 2003, from $\$ 589.6$ million to $\$ 486.9$. The number of grants decreased by 233 , from 3,823 to 3,590 . Among museum types (figure 7), the largest share of 2003 funding supported art museums ( 51.2 percent), and this share was almost unchanged from the previous year. Science and technology and natural history/ natural science museums also experienced increases in share in the latest

FIGURE 7. Giving to museums, 2003*


Source: The Foundation Center, 2005, based on a sample of 1,010 larger foundations.

* G iving to museums constitutes 27 percent of all giving to the arts and culture subcategory.
** Includes maritime, sports, hobby and other specialized museums.
*** Includes museums such as the Smithsonian Institution and general purpose museum programs
year. In contrast, other specialized museums, ${ }^{3}$ history museums, multipurpose museums ${ }^{4}$, children's museums, and ethnic/ folk arts museums received smaller shares of support. In the Foundation Center's 2003 sample, 65 percent of all funders supported museum activities.

Giving to multidisciplinary arts. The share of arts giving for multidisciplinary arts ${ }^{5}$ increased to 13 percent in 2003, up from 8 percent in 2002. Actual grant dollars for these activities increased by nearly 53 percent, from $\$ 153.2$ million to $\$ 233.8$ million. However, the number of multipurpose arts grants decreased slightly, from 2,238 to 2,200 . Nearly all of the increase in funding came from the Skirball Foundation, which awarded five grants totaling $\$ 74.4$ million to the Skirball Cultural Center at the California-based Hebrew Union College-Jewish Institute of Religion.

Giving to media and communications. Support for media and communications ${ }^{6}$ represented over 9 percent of arts funding in 2003, almost unchanged from 2002. Eight grants of at least $\$ 2.5$ million were made in the media and communications field in 2003, an increase from six in the previous year.

Giving to the humanities. Funding for the humanities ${ }^{7}$ totaled 6 percent of arts grant dollars in 2003, compared to over 9 percent in 2002. Despite this overall decrease in share, grant dollars for several humanities subfields increased in the latest year, led by general humanities. ${ }^{8}$
3. Includes maritime, sports, and hobby museums and other specialized museums.
4. Includes museums such as the Smithsonian Institution and general purpose museum programs.
5. Includes support for multidisciplinary centers, ethnic/ folk arts, arts education, and arts councils.
6. Includes support for production and dissemination in one or more media forms including film/ video, television, radio, and print publishing; support also for journalism and communications centers.
7. Includes support for archeology, art history, history, modern and classical languages, philosophy, ethics, theology, and comparative religion.
8. For a detailed analysis of foundation humanities support, see L Renz and S. Lawrence, Foundation Funding for the Humanities, N ew York: The Foundation Center, 2004.

Giving to other arts and culture subfields. Among other arts and culture fields, support for historic preservation increased slightly from roughly 5 percent of arts grants dollars in 2002 to close to 6 percent in 2003. However, the number of historic preservation grants decreased by 13 percent, from 1,272 to 1,108 . Finally, support for the visual arts and architecture remained almost unchanged at 4.5 percent in the latest year.

## Grants by types of support

An important caveat to a report on the allocation of foundation dollars by specific types of support is that, for roughly 19 percent of all grant dollars in the 2003 Foundation Center sample, the type of support could not be identified. This means that modest differences in percentages-that is, variations under 10 per-cent-may not be reliable. (The grant records available to the Foundation Center often lack the information necessary to identify the type of support. For example, it is often the case that the only source of data on smaller foundations' grants is the 990-PF tax return, and this tends to be less complete than other forms of grant reporting.)

The arts compared to other foundation fields of giving. The three largest categories of support tracked by the Foundation Center are program support, capital support, and general operating support. See figure 8 for a comparison in these three categories of dollars going to arts and culture with grant dollars going to other major foundation subject areas.

Of the three main categories of support, special programs and projects received the largest share of arts and culture support (33.7 percent) in 2003. Program support also accounted for the largest share of funding in most of the other major fields, such as health and education.

Grant dollars allocated for general operating support in 2003 were higher for arts and culture ( 30.2 percent) than for all other fields. This share was also up from 27 percent in 2002. By comparison, grant dollars allocated to general operating support represented about 13 percent of arts funding in 1989.

Capital projects received the third largest share of arts and culture grant dollars (27 percent) in 2003, and this share was down slightly from 28 percent in 2002. Arts dollars allocated to capital support have fluctuated more than arts dollars to the other two primary categories of support: in 1986 the share allocated to capital was about 44 percent; in 1993 it was about 30 percent; and in 1999 it was about 41 percent. (In general, the share of capital support is highest in periods of strong foundation asset growth.)

Arts grants by specific types of support Table 1 provides a breakdown of more specific types of support within the larger support categories and lists both the specific dollar value and number of grants made in each type. As with all data in the Snapshot, it is important to keep in mind that this table includes only grants of $\$ 10,000$ or more awarded to organizations by a sample of 1,010 larger foundations. It is also important to note

FIGURE 8. General, program, and capital support grant dollars by major subject area, 2003*


Percent of grant dollars


Source: The Foundation Center, 2005, based on a sample of 1,010 larger foundations.

* Subject a reas representing at least 6 percent of grant dollars.
** Research support accounted for 32 percent of grant dollars in health..
*** Inculdes civil rights and social action, community improvement and development, philanthropy and voluntarism, and public affairs.
that for nearly 23 percent of the arts grant dollars in this sample, the type of support was not specified.


## Grants by grant size

Small and mid-sized grants. Close to two-thirds ( 65.8 percent) of all arts grants in the 2003 sample were for amounts between $\$ 10,000$ and $\$ 49,999$ (table 2), matching the 2002 share. The share of mid-sized arts grants (\$50,000 to $\$ 499,999$ ) also remained unchanged at 31 percent.

Large grants. The share of larger arts grants (\$500,000 and over) was nearly unchanged over the same period: larger grants represented almost 4 percent of the total number of arts grants in 2003. However, their share of total grant dollars decreased slightly from 52 percent in 2002 to 51 percent in 2003 . 0 verall, foundations in the sample made 74 arts grants of at least $\$ 2.5$ million in 2003, down from 76 in 2002.

TABLE 1. Arts grants by types of support, 2003*

| Type of support Dolla | Dollar value of grants | \% | No. of grants | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General support \$ 5 | \$ 540,085 | 30.2 | 5,639 | 31.5 |
| G eneral 0 perating | 455,676 | 25.5 | 4,780 | 26.7 |
| A nnual Campaigns | 6,319 | 0.4 | 89 | 0.5 |
| Income Development | 26,931 | 1.5 | 403 | 2.3 |
| M anagement Development | nt 51,158 | 2.9 | 367 | 2.1 |
| Program support 603 | 603,862 | 33.7 | 6,614 | 37.0 |
| Program Development | 300,695 | 16.8 | 3,654 | 20.4 |
| Conferences/ Seminars | 26,607 | 1.5 | 291 | 1.6 |
| Faculty/ Staff Development | nt 38,325 | 2.1 | 287 | 1.6 |
| Professorships | 9,882 | 0.6 | 16 | 0.1 |
| Film/ Video/ Radio | 23,025 | 1.3 | 214 | 1.2 |
| Publication | 22,651 | 1.3 | 203 | 1.1 |
| Seed Money | 8,937 | 0.5 | 69 | 0.4 |
| Curriculum Development | 23,331 | 1.3 | 186 | 1.0 |
| Performance/ Productions | s 42,252 | 2.4 | 722 | 4.0 |
| Exhibitions | 49,921 | 2.8 | 468 | 2.6 |
| Collections M anagement/ |  |  |  |  |
| Preservation | 14,562 | 0.8 | 117 | 0.7 |
| Commissioning N ew W orks | rks 11,040 | 0.6 | 173 | 1.0 |
| Electronic Media/ O nline |  |  |  |  |
| Services | 32,632 | 1.8 | 214 | 1.2 |
| Capital support 48 | 484,002 | 27.0 | 1836 | 10.3 |
| Capital Campaigns | 66,811 | 3.7 | 328 | 1.8 |
| Building/ Renovation | 235,276 | 13.1 | 861 | 4.8 |
| Equipment | 16,502 | 0.9 | 212 | 1.2 |
| Computer Systems/ Equipment | ment 9,403 | 0.5 | 110 | 0.6 |
| Land Acquisition | 3,361 | 0.2 | 21 | 0.1 |
| Endowments | 103,484 | 5.8 | 213 | 1.2 |
| Debt Reduction | 13,834 | 0.8 | 20 | 0.1 |
| Collections Acquisition | 35,060 | 2.0 | 71 | 0.4 |
| Professional development | ent 69,091 | 3.9 | 472 | 2.6 |
| Fellowships/ Residencies | 758 | 0.0 | 6 | 0.0 |
| Internships | 53,322 | 3.0 | 231 | 1.3 |
| Scholarships | 3,660 | 0.2 | 46 | 0.3 |
| Awards/ Prizes/ Competitions | tions 5,897 | 0.3 | 108 | 0.6 |
| Unspecified | 5,471 | 0.3 | 81 | 0.5 |
| Other Support | 57,002 | 3.1 | 355 | 2.0 |
| Research | 41,076 | 2.3 | 219 | 1.2 |
| Technical Assistance | 12,776 | 0.7 | 107 | 0.6 |
| Emergency Funds | 827 | 0.0 | 10 | 0.1 |
| Program Evaluation | 2,323 | 0.1 | 19 | 0.1 |

## Not specified <br> 406,905 <br> 22.7 4,863 <br> 27.2

Qualifying Support Type**

| Continuing | 592,615 | 33.1 | 5,334 | 29.8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Matching or Challenge | 62,892 | 3.5 | 171 | 1.0 |

Source: The Foundation Center, 2005, based on a sample of 1,005 larger foundations.

* Dollar figures in thousands; grants may occasionally be for multiple types of support, e.g., for new works and for endowment, and would thereby be counted twice.
** Q ualifying types of support are tracked in addition to basic types of support, e.g., a challenge grant for construction, and are thereby represented separately.

TABLE 2. Arts grants by grant size, 2003 (dollar amount in thousands)

| Grant Range | No. of <br> of grants | \% | Dollar <br> amount | \% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 5$ million and over | 24 | 0.2 | $\$ 233,079$ | 13.0 |
| $\$ 1$ million-under $\$ 5$ million | 275 | 1.6 | 459,160 | 25.7 |
| $\$ 500,000$-under $\$ 1$ million | 251 | 2.0 | 218,398 | 12.2 |
| $\$ 100,000$-under $\$ 500,000$ | 2,704 | 15.1 | 484,544 | 27.1 |
| $\$ 50,000$-under $\$ 100,000$ | 2,769 | 15.5 | 166,209 | 9.3 |
| $\$ 25,000$-under $\$ 50,000$ | 4,165 | 23.3 | 125,144 | 7.0 |
| $\$ 10,000$-under $\$ 25,000$ | 7,593 | 42.5 | 103,735 | 5.9 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 7 , 8 8 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 7 9 0 , 2 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Source: The Foundation Center, 2005, based on a sample of 1,010 larger |  |  |  |  |
| foundations. |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 3. 25 largest arts, culture, and media funders, 2003

| Rank Foundation | State | Total grant dollars* | rts as \% of total dollars | No. of grants |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Skirball Foundation | NY | \$84,462,200 | 94.8 | 20 |
| 2. Andrew W. Mellon |  |  |  |  |
| Foundation | NY | 77,038,500 | 42.4 | 156 |
| 3. A nnenberg Foundation | PA | 70,001,888 | 37.9 | 122 |
| 4. John S. and James L |  |  |  |  |
| Knight Foundation | FL | 39,738,775 | 38.2 | 83 |
| 5. Ford Foundation | NY | 38,427,417 | 7.9 | 258 |
| 6. J. Paul G etty Trust | CA | 26,842,922 | 98.6 | 138 |
| 7. Kresge Foundation | MI | 24,498,000 | 22.7 | 25 |
| 8. W illiam Penn Foundation | PA | 22,204,519 | 36.9 | 53 |
| 9. W illiam and Flora Hewlett |  |  |  |  |
| Foundation | CA | 21,308,900 | 12.7 | 120 |
| 10.Freedom Forum | VA | 21,286,502 | 67.9 | 15 |
| 11. Pew Charitable Trusts | PA | 18,920,000 | 13.4 | 30 |
| 12.Ford M otor Company Fund | MI | 17,000,713 | 21.7 | 126 |
| 13. Burnett Foundation | TX | 16,924,258 | 77.5 | 19 |
| 14.G reater Kansas City Comm. |  |  |  |  |
| Fdn and Affiliated Trusts | MO | 16,725,245 | 24.8 | 46 |
| 15.Donald W. Reynolds |  |  |  |  |
| Foundation | NV | 16,530,193 | 14.8 | 22 |
| 16.Lilly Endowment | IN | 16,281,561 | 5.2 | 39 |
| 17.W allace Foundation | NY | 14,866,000 | 34.3 | 27 |
| 18.N ew York Community Trust | NY | 14,058,450 | 15.0 | 330 |
| 19. Brown Foundation | TX | 13,660,980 | 28.3 | 95 |
| 20. Horace W. Goldsmith |  |  |  |  |
| Foundation | NY | 13,068,000 | 34.3 | 123 |
| 21. Doris Duke Charitable |  |  |  |  |
| Foundation | NY | 12,578,920 | 34.9 | 13 |
| 22.Rockefeller Foundation | NY | 12,440,963 | 10.3 | 135 |
| 23. Shubert Foundation | NY | 12,365,000 | 93.6 | 267 |
| 24. Satori Foundation | VA | 12,000,000 | 99.8 | 1 |
| 25.Ann and Gordon G etty |  |  |  |  |
| Foundation | CA | 11,792,113 | 82.3 | 125 |
| Total |  | 45,022,019 |  | 2,388 |
| Source: The Foundation C enter, 2005, based on a sample of 1,010 larger foundations. <br> * Figures based on grants awarded of $\$ 10,000$ or more, excluding grants paid directly to individuals. |  |  |  |  |

The largest arts and culture grants in the Foundation Center's 2003 sample were two $\$ 22$ million operating support awards from the Skirball Foundation to the Skirball Cultural Center at the Hebrew Union College-Jewish Institute of Religion. Following these awards was the Freedom Forum's $\$ 20$ million continuing operating and capital support grant to the Freedom Forum $N$ ewseum.

The 25 largest arts funders. The top 25 arts funders by giving amount provided 36 percent of the total arts dollars in the Foundation Center's sample (table 3). W hile this is a significant share, in the early 1980s the top 25 arts funders accounted for more than half of the grant dollars in the sample. Their share has de-
clined since then-down to about 41 percent in 1989, 1993, and 1998 and 34 percent in 1995. This suggests that the base of large arts funders has grown and means that support for the arts is less concentrated among a small number of foundations than in most earlier years.

Top foundations by share of arts giving out of overall giving. Of the foundations that committed large percentages of their grant dollars to arts and culture, many are smaller foundations (table 4). Among the top 100 foundations ranked by share of arts grant dollars out of total giving, 66 foundations gave less than $\$ 5$ million in total arts grant dollars in 2003.

TABLE 4. Top 35 foundations by share of arts giving out of overall giving, 2003

| Rank | Foundation | State | Fdn. type* | Total grant dollars |  | Arts as \% of total dollars | No. of arts grants |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Satori Foundation | VA | IN | \$12,025,000 | \$12,000,000 | 99.8 | 1 |
| 2. | J. Paul Getty Trust | CA | OP | 27,212,689 | 26,842,922 | 98.6 | 138 |
| 3. | Helen F. W hitaker Fund | PA | IN | 6,463,331 | 6,305,331 | 97.6 | 41 |
| 4. | Ralph E. O gden Foundation | NY | IN | 3,838,600 | 3,735,600 | 97.3 | 3 |
| 5. | Jerome Foundation | M N | IN | 2,993,350 | 2,895,350 | 96.7 | 87 |
| 6. | Colburn Foundation | CA | IN | 6,037,539 | 5,742,539 | 95.1 | 15 |
| 7. | Skirball Foundation | NY | IN | 89,127,384 | 84,462,200 | 94.8 | 20 |
| 8. | Andy W arhol Foundation for the Visual Arts | NY | IN | 2,856,865 | 2,687,200 | 94.1 | 53 |
| 9. | Shubert Foundation | NY | IN | 13,217,500 | 12,365,000 | 93.6 | 267 |
| 10. | W illiam S. Paley Foundation | NY | IN | 5,026,296 | 4,591,296 | 91.4 | 7 |
| 11. | W alt and Lilly Disney Foundation | CA | IN | 9,971,737 | 8,921,737 | 89.5 | 6 |
| 12. | Allen Foundation for the Arts | WA | IN | 6,317,118 | 5,597,118 | 88.6 | 96 |
| 13. | M uriel McBrien Kauffman Foundation | MO | IN | 9,587,028 | 8,232,445 | 85.9 | 79 |
| 14. | Ann and Gordon Getty Foundation | CA | IN | 14,332,885 | 11,792,113 | 82.3 | 125 |
| 15. | Agnes G und Foundation | ON | IN | 5,733,639 | 4,673,237 | 81.5 | 39 |
| 16. | Harold \& M imi Steinberg Charitable Trust | NY | IN | 2,843,950 | 2,299,450 | 80.9 | 59 |
| 17. | Frist Foundation | TN | IN | 8,419,463 | 6,742,200 | 80.1 | 24 |
| 18. | Eugene McDermott Foundation | TX | IN | 4,555,000 | 3,645,000 | 80.0 | 22 |
| 19. | Ball Brothers Foundation | IN | IN | 4,814,655 | 3,830,355 | 79.6 | 8 |
| 20. | J. Roderick M acA rthur Foundation | IL | IN | 3,305,306 | 2,605,000 | 78.8 | 4 |
| 21. | Burnett Foundation | TX | IN | 21,849,216 | 16,924,258 | 77.5 | 19 |
| 22. | G ilder Foundation | NY | IN | 14,541,890 | 11,171,842 | 76.8 | 20 |
| 23. | Holland Foundation | NE | IN | 4,229,675 | 3,008,175 | 71.1 | 4 |
| 24. | Gertrude C. Ford Foundation | MS | IN | 1,929,500 | 1,335,500 | 69.2 | 4 |
| 25. | W ortham Foundation | TX | IN | 9,891,334 | 6,820,000 | 69.0 | 36 |
| 26. | Florence Gould Foundation | NY | IN | 6,920,356 | 4,762,848 | 68.8 | 95 |
| 27. | Freedon Forum | VA | 0 P | 31,341,858 | 21,286,502 | 67.9 | 15 |
| 28. | Mary Flagler Cary Charitable Trust | NY | IN | 2,545,000 | 1,680,000 | 66.0 | 66 |
| 29. | Trust for M utual Understanding | NY | IN | 3,273,590 | 2,104,590 | 64.3 | 77 |
| 30. | Lannan Foundation | NM | IN | 8,142,352 | 5,169,747 | 63.5 | 49 |
| 31. | W indgate Charitable Foundation | AR | IN | 9,201,479 | 5,736,127 | 62.3 | 33 |
| 32. | Packard Humanities Institute | CA | OP | 13,023,151 | 8,075,751 | 62.0 | 26 |
| 33. | Miller N ichols Charitable Foundation | MO | IN | 4,819,477 | 2,937,149 | 60.9 | 12 |
| 34. | Fan Fox and Leslie R. Samuels Foundation | NY | IN | 8,287,730 | 4,927,500 | 59.5 | 98 |
| 35. | Eugene B. Casey Foundation | MD | IN | 11,539,500 | 6,834,500 | 59.2 | 2 |

[^4]
## Government Funding for the Arts

## Kelly Barsdate, National Assembly of State Arts Agencies



Government support represents one important slice - about 11 percent, according to the last Foundation Center analysis - of nonprofit arts organizations' total revenue picture. Three key sources of government grant dollars for the arts in the United States are congressional appropriations to the $N$ ational Endowment for the Arts, legislative appropriations to the nation's state arts agencies, and estimates of direct funding for the arts by county and municipal governments. These three funding streams accounted for a combined $\$ 1.1$ billion in public arts support in 2005.

Just as foundation portfolios suffered during the recent recession, so too did government funding. Local government expenditures grew by nearly $\$ 200$ million through the 1990s before losing $\$ 89$ million between 2002 and 2005. State appropriations, after more than doubling from 1992 to 2001, declined by $\$ 169$ million over three years, then started upward again in 2005. Federal funding for the NEA has increased slightly in each of the last five years, but still remains at amounts far below those seen in the early 1990s. Government funding at all levels has been challenged to keep pace with the cost of living. Of the three funding streams profiled here, state legislative appropriations alone exceed 1992 levels when controlled for inflation.

The availability of public dollars for the arts is shaped largely by the health of government revenues, which showed anemic growth (and in some cases posted declines) between 2001 and 2004. Looking ahead, fiscal conditions at the state and local levels are showing signs of improvement. Increasing costs of health care, education, corrections, and security, however, continue to constrict government's ability to spend dollars in other areas, including the arts. Along with some cautious optimism about the future, public grantmakers continue to underscore the necessity of organized and highly informed advocacy, noting that when elected officials understand the public benefits of the arts, they continue to find means of support even when funds are scarce.

Source: $N$ ational Assembly of State Arts Agencies, drawing from data provided by the $N$ ational Endowment for the Arts, Americans for the Arts, and the State Arts Agency Legislative Appropriations Survey 2005. Constant dollar adjustments are calculated using Bureau of Labor Statistics Consumer Price Index (CPI) figures with a base year of 1992 .

## Who we are

Grantmakers in the Arts works within philanthropy to improve society's health by strengthening the role of arts and culture. It is a membership organization whose trade is discourse on ideas about arts philanthropy within a diverse community of grantmakers. GIA maintains a lightweight infrastructure that supports its members' work together. Members include private, community, corporate, and family foundations, as well as regranting organizations whose primary purpose is arts grantmaking, public sector grantmakers, and individual donors who give through eligible organizations.
GIA's primary goals are to improve and strengthen arts philanthropy beyond GIA's membership and to support individual grantmakers and deepen their involvement with each other.

What we do

- Produce an annual conference.
- Publish Grantmakers in the Arts Reader three times per year featuring articles on topics of interest to arts grantmakers, summaries of recently published reports and studies, and regional and interest-specific reports by arts grantmakers.
- Commission research and other publications.
- Facilitate communication among GIA members through events at other gatherings of grantmakers such as Council on Foundations conferences, informal publications, and electronic resources.


## Grantmakers in the Arts

604 West Galer Street
Seattle, WA 98119-3253
(206) 624-2312 fax (206) 624-5568
gia@giarts.org www.giarts.org
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[^0]:    1. Public affairs/ society benefit includes support for civil rights and social action, community improvement and development, philanthropy and voluntarism, and public affairs.
[^1]:    Source: The Foundation Center, 2005, based on a sample of more than 1,000 larger foundations.

    * Includes civil rights and social action, community improvement, philanthropy and voluntarism, and public affairs.

    N ote: Disproportionately large gifts, usually made on a one-ime basis, may distort long-term funding patterns in one or a few particular years.

[^2]:    Source: The Foundation C enter, 2005 , based on a sample of 1,010 larger foundations.

    * Due to rounding, percentages may not equal 100 .
    ** Includes civil rights and social action, community improvement, philanthropy and voluntarism, and public affairs.

[^3]:    Source: The Foundation Center, 2005, based on a sample of 1,010 larger foundations.

    * Due to rounding, percentages may not equal 100 .

[^4]:    Source: The Foundation Center, 2004, based on a sample of 1,010 larger foundations. $\quad * \mathbb{N}=$ Independent, $0 \mathrm{P}=0$ perating

